

Application Serial No. 10/669,995  
Reply to Office Action of April 19, 2006

PATENT  
Docket: CU-3368

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1-3 stand rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶2 because the word "basically" as used in claim 1 is indefinite.

Claims 1 and 3 were also rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. patent number 6,690,368 to Wu.

Claim 2 was found to be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections of claim 1 under §112.

The word "basically" has been deleted from claim 1 to traverse the Examiner's rejection under §112.

The limitations of claim 2 have been written into claim 1 thereby making amended claim 1 allowable over the prior art and claim 2 has been cancelled.


Claim 3 has been amended to make it dependent on claim 1.

Since the Applicant has distinguished the claims from the art cited by the Examiner, claims 1 and 3 are submitted to be in condition for allowance.

Reconsideration of the claims is therefore respectfully requested.

Sincerely,

Dated: October 19, 2006

  
Joseph P. Krause, Reg. No. 32,578  
Ladas & Parry  
224 South Michigan Avenue  
Chicago, Illinois 60604  
(312) 427-1300